

**APPENDIX C - NATURAL GAS EFFICIENCY PROGRAM EXPENDITURES BY ACTIVITY AND STATE**  
**2018 State Efficiency Expenditures by Activity**

STATE	A. ADMINISTRATIVE, MARKETING, OTHER IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	B. CUSTOMER INCENTIVES (Rebates, Loans & Other Financial Incentives)	C. EM&V AND SUPPORTING RESEARCH STUDIES	D. OTHER COSTS	PROGRAMS TOTAL (A+B+C+D)
ALABAMA	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
ALASKA	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
ARIZONA	\$ 344,527	\$ 5,029,686	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,374,213
ARKANSAS	\$ 5,389,747	\$ 8,592,147	\$ 661,777	\$ 156,771	\$ 14,800,442
CALIFORNIA	\$ 234,539,165	\$ 61,264,292	\$ 2,424,478	\$ 3,818,118	\$ 302,046,053
COLORADO	\$ 7,678,330	\$ 11,286,581	\$ 1,928,481	\$ 152,117	\$ 21,045,509
CONNECTICUT	\$ 7,021,429	\$ 34,591,163	\$ 690,393	\$ 3,155,054	\$ 45,458,039
DELAWARE	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	\$ 1,345,167	\$ 1,722,691	\$ -	\$ 674,407	\$ 3,742,265
FLORIDA	\$ 4,799,771	\$ 22,590,604	\$ 508	\$ 63,855	\$ 27,454,738
GEORGIA	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
IDAHO	\$ 430,951	\$ 2,152,634	\$ 93,579	\$ 48,989	\$ 2,726,153
ILLINOIS	\$ 33,404,206	\$ 37,991,793	\$ 2,504,540	\$ 57,264	\$ 73,957,803
INDIANA	\$ 6,963,363	\$ 8,403,450	\$ 506,294	\$ 1,347,188	\$ 17,220,295
IOWA	\$ 7,510,633	\$ 33,000,095	\$ 503,738	\$ 278,529	\$ 41,292,995
KANSAS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
KENTUCKY	\$ 425,985	\$ 1,085,120	\$ 15,627	\$ 11,400	\$ 1,538,132
LOUISIANA	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
MAINE	\$ 564,750	\$ 1,141,852	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,706,602
MARYLAND	\$ 8,626,490	\$ 8,786,111	\$ 144,743	\$ 38,400	\$ 17,595,744
MASSACHUSETTS	\$ 29,755,029	\$ 182,150,894	\$ 6,013,258	\$ 31,936,480	\$ 249,855,661
MICHIGAN	\$ 31,455,509	\$ 56,986,179	\$ 3,282,387	\$ 4,686,902	\$ 96,410,977
MINNESOTA	\$ 29,209,694	\$ 29,941,855	\$ 1,437,090	\$ 1,976,568	\$ 62,565,207
MISSISSIPPI	\$ 703,695	\$ 750,376	\$ 29,661	\$ -	\$ 1,483,732
MISSOURI	\$ 632,355	\$ 7,123,823	\$ 71,004	\$ 263,000	\$ 8,090,182
MONTANA	\$ 2,397,617	\$ 466,922	\$ -	\$ 6,600	\$ 2,871,139
NEBRASKA	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
NEVADA	\$ 137,930	\$ -	\$ 4,715	\$ 546,923	\$ 689,568
NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$ 1,071,728	\$ 4,579,909	\$ 315,427	\$ -	\$ 5,967,064
NEW JERSEY	\$ 29,350,928	\$ 82,103,479	\$ 1,667,878	\$ 737,593	\$ 113,859,878
NEW MEXICO	\$ 2,593,150	\$ 3,281,919	\$ 111,534	\$ 91,436	\$ 6,078,039
NEW YORK	\$ 17,163,369	\$ 43,084,413	\$ 2,253,313	\$ 1,142,944	\$ 63,644,039

**APPENDIX C - NATURAL GAS EFFICIENCY PROGRAM EXPENDITURES BY ACTIVITY AND STATE**  
**2018 State Efficiency Expenditures by Activity**

STATE	A. ADMINISTRATIVE, MARKETING, OTHER IMPLEMENTATION COSTS	B. CUSTOMER INCENTIVES (Rebates, Loans & Other Financial Incentives)	C. EM&V AND SUPPORTING RESEARCH STUDIES	D. OTHER COSTS	PROGRAMS TOTAL (A+B+C+D)
NORTH CAROLINA	\$ 545,374	\$ 684,525	\$ 45,101	\$ -	\$ 1,275,000
NORTH DAKOTA	\$ 81,575	\$ 60,295	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 141,870
OHIO	\$ 26,162,926	\$ 19,154,000	\$ 335,259	\$ 397,315	\$ 46,049,500
OKLAHOMA	\$ 1,624,452	\$ 14,245,903	\$ 500,230	\$ -	\$ 16,370,585
OREGON	\$ 10,527,835	\$ 13,877,682	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 24,405,517
PENNSYLVANIA	\$ 6,529,494	\$ 11,730,411	\$ 187,550	\$ -	\$ 18,447,455
RHODE ISLAND	\$ 5,460,915	\$ 16,150,165	\$ 5,059,444	\$ 560,047	\$ 27,230,571
SOUTH CAROLINA	\$ 92,243	\$ 237,825	\$ 3,650	\$ -	\$ 333,718
SOUTH DAKOTA	\$ 166,589	\$ 650,450	\$ 3,773	\$ 10,644	\$ 831,456
TENNESSEE	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
TEXAS	\$ 931,913	\$ 3,497,420	\$ 26,845	\$ -	\$ 4,456,178
UTAH	\$ 6,457,269	\$ 16,951,012	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,408,281
VERMONT	\$ 837,952	\$ 1,835,818	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,673,770
VIRGINIA	\$ 1,267,495	\$ 836,472	\$ 169,430	\$ 408,353	\$ 2,681,750
WASHINGTON	\$ 9,158,571	\$ 17,545,402	\$ 582,355	\$ 296,465	\$ 27,582,793
WEST VIRGINIA	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
WISCONSIN	\$ 12,416,177	\$ 15,467,036	\$ 507,771	\$ -	\$ 28,390,984
WYOMING	\$ 121,209	\$ 443,880	\$ 32,840	\$ -	\$ 597,929
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 545,897,507</b>	<b>\$ 781,476,284</b>	<b>\$ 32,114,673</b>	<b>\$ 52,863,362</b>	<b>\$ 1,412,351,826</b>

\* This data stems from Natural Gas utility members that participated in the energy efficiency survey within a given state; not all utility EE programs that may be offered within the state.

\*\* States without 3 or more participating utilities were not included in this appendix as per the participation agreement to keep individual company data confidential.

\*\*\* Utilities that were unable to participate in the 2018 survey but had participated in 2017, had prior year data incorporated for consistency.

\*\*\*\* D. Other includes combined C&I programs that were not able to get broken out.